

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated April 23, 2008 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 9, and 10 are rejected by the Examiner. By way of the foregoing Amendment, claims 1, 9, and 10 have been amended. No new matter has been added. Claims 1, 2, 5, 9 and 10 remain pending in this application.

In this Office Action, claims Claims 1-2, 5, and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 9 to make it clear that both A1 and A2 are selected from presented groups. Moreover, by these amendments, the feature of substituents of both A1 and A2 being selected from presented group are clear. Thus, the rejection is overcome. Withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, Claims 1, 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated U.S. Pre Grant Publication Number 2005/0064233 by Matsuura et al. (hereinafter "Matsuura").

Applicants have amended claim 1 to delete "anthracene" from X as well as "biphenyl" from B1 and B2, and to delete "a substituted or non-substituted phenyl" from A1 and A2, as well as, "methyl" from a substituent of each substituted A1 and A2. Moreover, Applicants have also amended claim 9 to delete a non-substituted phenyl group and a substituted phenyl group by methyl from chemical formula 3. Further, Applicants have also amended claim 10 to delete S-3, S-23, S-25, S-39, S-46, S-47, S-48, S-63, S-68, S-69, and S-84 from chemical formula 4 that they corresponds to the cases which A1 and A2 are phenyl and substituents of A1 and A2 are

methyl. Thus, the rejection is also overcome, and Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejection.

Applicants believe that the foregoing amendments and remarks place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.


CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and the timely allowance of the pending claims. Should the Examiner feel that there are any issues outstanding after consideration of the response, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' undersigned representative to expedite prosecution.

If there are any other fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-2353. If a fee is required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 not accounted for above, such an extension is requested and the fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Dated: July 16, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Donald L. Monin, Jr.
Registration No.: 47,256
Holland & Knight LLP
2099 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. – Suite 100
Washington, DC 20006-6801
(202) 419-2521 - Telephone
(202) 955-5564 - Facsimile